by silica gel (Sigma Sil B-200) column chromatography and elution with $2.5 \%$ methanol in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ gave ( $175 \mu \mathrm{Ci}(24 \%$ yield); sp act. $59 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{mmol})$ pure $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-9$, which cochromatographed with unlabeled 9 on TLC ( $25 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

Radiochemical Synthesis of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-11,\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-12$, and [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-13$. The commercial sample of iodine- $125(21.8 \mathrm{mCi})$ was received in 0.1 N NaOH and was first neutralized with a hydrofluoric acid (HF) solution (prepared by diluting $48 \%$ aqueous HF with methanol). A solution of iodine (one atom equivalent of the substrate, 12.7 mg ) in methanol ( 2 mL ) was added to the radioiodide solution. The resulting solution was made homogeneous and added to a cold (ice-water bath) stirred suspension of finely powdered 4-(aminophenyl)mercuric acetate ( 0.1 mmol ). An instantaneous reaction with iodine color discharge was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred for $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$, diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with ethyl ether. The ether portion was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous sodium bisulfite solution followed by water and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. Evaporation of ether provided 4[ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]iodoaniline ( $15.8 \mathrm{mCi}, 73 \%$ radiochemical yield) with a specific activity of $218 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{mmol}$. Further purification could be achieved by silica gel column chromatography by elution with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ without significant loss of the product. The $4-\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ iodoaniline prepared by this method was characterized by comparing with an authentic unlabeled sample ${ }^{21}$ of 11 . The [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-11$ ( 15.8 $\mathrm{mCi})$ and the succinimidyl ester $4(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ were
dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ). The solution was stirred for 4 h and applied to a $16 \times 1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ column packed with silica gel (Sigma Sil B-200) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Elution of the column with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ provided unreacted [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]-11 ( 5.52 mCi ). Further elution with $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ gave [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-12(5.67 \mathrm{mCi}, 35.8 \%$ radiochemical yield) in $70 \%$ yield with a specific activity of $137 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{mmol}$ on the basis of recovered $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-11$. The [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-12$ was reduced into $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-13$ in an argon atmosphere, using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(35$ $\mathrm{mg})$ as described for $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-9$ in $13 \%(719 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ radiochemical yield.
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# Synthesis and in Vitro Pharmacology of 7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane Analogues of Thromboxane $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}} / \mathbf{P G H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ 
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A series of chemically stable $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ analogues modeled after the structure of the natural products was prepared in search of useful inhibitors of $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$-mediated pathophysiology. Each of the 16 isomers implied in structure 1 was prepared in chiral form and evaluated for activity in vitro in platelets and smooth muscle. Depending on relative side chain and carbinol stereochemistry, $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ agonist and antagonist and, surprisingly, $\mathrm{PGD}_{2} / \mathrm{PGI}_{2}$ agonist activities were observed. The enantiomers possessing the $\alpha$ heterocycle shown in 1 were generally more potent than their mirror-image isomers.

The adversary relationship between prostacyclin and thromboxane- $\mathrm{A}_{2}\left(\mathrm{TXA}_{2}\right)$, which modulates coronary blood vessel caliber ${ }^{1}$ and platelet aggregation, ${ }^{2}$ presents a novel opportunity for therapeutic intervention in cardiovascular events. Substances that inhibit TXA ${ }_{2}$ synthetase or interfere at the $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ receptor would be expected to normalize pathological events caused by oversynthesis of TXA $_{2}$. Thus, the synthesis of compounds modeled after $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ has been the goal of our research group since publication of its structure in $1975 .^{3}$
Topologically, TXA $_{2}$ and its biosynthetic precursor $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ can be represented by three areas of polar functionality (carboxyl, heterocycle, carbinol) connected by linkages of precise length and stereochemistry. Medicinally useful agents modeled after $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ will require structural modifications in each area to overcome the chemical and metabolic instability and undesired activity inherent in the nature products. Currently, active research is directed toward identifying advantageous replacement functionality in each area. However, the major emphasis has centered on the chemically labile dioxabicyclo-

[^0][3.1.1]heptane ring system. Stable surrogate ring systems in which oxygen is replaced by carbon,,$^{4,78}$ nitrogen, ${ }^{5}$ or
(4) (a) Ohuchida, S.; Hamanaka, N.; Hayashi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 3661-3664. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Magolda, R. L.; Smith, J. B.; Aharony, D.; Lefer, A. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1979, 76, 2566-2570. (c) Wilson, N. H.; Peesapati, V.; Jones, R. L.; Hamilton, K. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 495-500.
(5) Kosuge, S.; Hayashi, M.; Hamanaka, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4027-4030.
(6) (a) Kosuge, S.; Hamanaka, N.; Hayashi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1345-1348. (b) Uhuchida, S.; Hamanaka, N.; Hayashi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1349-1352. (c) Ibid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4597-4599. (d) Uhuchida, S.; Hamanaka, N.; Hashimoto, S.; Hayashi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2883-2886.
(7) (a) Maxey, K. M.; Bundy, G. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 445-448. (b) Gorman, R. R.; Maxey, K. M.; Bundy, G. L. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1981, 100, 184-190.
(8) Corey, E. J.; Ponder, J. M.; Ulrich, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 137-140.
(9) Ansell, M. F.; Caton, M. P.; Mason, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1141-1142.
(10) Schaaf, T. K.; Bussolotti, D. L.; Parry, M. J.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6502-6505.
(11) Barraclough, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1897-1900.
(12) Corey, E. J.; Shibasaki, M.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Malmsten, C. L.; Samuelsson, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 734-741.
(13) Corey, E. J.; Niwa, H.; Bloom, M.; Ramwell, P. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 671-674.

Table I. Bicy clo [3.1.1]heptane Thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ Analogues


| No. | $\underline{x}$ | $\underline{Y}$ | Classification | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | c | C | agonist | 4 a |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | c | antagonist | 4b, c |
| III | c | s | agonist | 6 a |
| IV | S | c | agonist | 6 b |
| v | S | 0 | agonist | 6 d |
| VI | c | N | agonist | 5 |
| VII | S | S | agonist | 6 c |
| VIII | 0 | C | antagonist | 7a,b |
| IX | C | 0 | unknown | 8 |

Table II. Bicyclic Thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ Analogues ${ }^{a}$



Classification
weak agonist
Reference
9
weak agonist

unknown

agonist

weak agonist

agonist


TXA 2 Synth. Inhibitor
${ }^{a}$ For a discussion of prostaglandin endoperoxide analogues prepared before 1979 , see ref 14 .
sulfur ${ }^{6}$ have been reported by several groups (Table I). Alternatively, stability has been achieved by enlargement

## Scheme I ${ }^{\alpha}$


${ }^{a}$ Key: a, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CCOCl} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF; d, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{e}$, DIBAL; f, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHOCH}_{3} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{h}$,
$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+} ; \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} ;$ j, $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$, pyridine; , $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; 1,(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{POCH}^{-} \mathrm{COC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Na}^{+} ; \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} ; \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{HOH}$, THF .
of the $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ oxetane ring (Table II).
Our understanding of the effect on biological activity of these structural variations is mixed. Several $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ mimics (Table II) and a few TXA ${ }_{2}$ analogues (Table I, see II and VIII) have been extensively characterized. In most other cases, however, only a rough classification as agonists or antagonists of $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ and/or $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ synthetase inhibitors is possible.

In this work we employed the 7 -oxabicyclo[2.2.1.]heptane ring system as a chemically stable surrogate for the $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ heterocycle and chose compounds of general structure 1 as our primary targets.


TXA


Previous experience with derivatives of $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}{ }^{15}$ suggested prostaglandin activity is sensitive to isomerism at the 15 carbinol and side chain ring junctions. Anticipating TXA 2 agonist activity for the "natural" trans stereochemistry ( $\alpha$ side chain exo), we felt isomers with alternate ring side chain stereochemistry could have different activity and

[^1]might behave as antagonists. We are plased to report that this hypothesis has proven to be true. Our results indicate that three different activity profiles can be distinguished among the isomers of 1 depending on side chain stereochemistry. We will also present evidence suggesting both the heterocyclic ring oxygen and side chain carbinol strongly effect the activity of these compounds.

## Results

Chemistry. For definitive structure-activity correlations to be made, biological evaluation of each isomer implied by structure 1 was necessary. Of the five asymmetric carbon atoms in 1, two share the bridge oxygen atom. The compound can therefore exist in 16 isomeric forms; the eight diastereomers shown below and their mirror-image enantiomers. Construction of the acid and allylic alcohol side chains in compounds such as 1 can be carried out by using Wittig-type chemistry already well established by Corey ${ }^{16}$ and others. ${ }^{14}$ The synthetic challenge presented by structure 1 centers on controlling the functionality and stereochemistry at positions 3 and 8 on a chiral 7 -oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane starting material.



3aR=H, R' OH
$b R=O H, R^{\prime}=H$


4aR=H, R' $=O H$ $b R=O H, R^{1}=H$

Hemiacetal 6 contains the necessary functionality and stereochemistry to make synthesis of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $2 \mathbf{b}$ possible.


In a retrosynthetic sense, 6 is available from hemiacetal 7a by homologation. Compound 7a in turn is available from furan and maleic acid ${ }^{17}$ as shown in Scheme I. Reaction of 7a with (methoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane followed by hydrolysis of the intermediate enol ether mixture gave hemiacetal 6. Exposure of 6 to (carboxybutylene) triphenylphosphorane gave 11 which, after esterification, was oxidized to endo aldehyde 12. Treatment of this compound with the anion of dimethyl (2-oxoheptyl)phosphonate followed by reduction of the ketone function, chromatographic separation of the epimers of 15, and hydrolysis of the ester function led to compounds 2 a and 2 b .

The hemiacetal 7a can also serve as starting material for preparation of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{5 a}$, and $\mathbf{5 b}$. Both substituents

[^2]
in 7a are endo and therefore in a hindered steric environment. Epimerization at either position 3 or 8 should be facile and synthetically useful, provided ring-opened forms of 7a can be generated. Epimerization at position 8 leading to compounds with the unnat-trans type stereochemistry found in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ becomes possible after cyclic hemiacetal 6 is converted to the ring-opened derivative 12 as shown in Scheme I. Aldehyde 12 is epimerized completely to trans aldehyde 13 by exposure to a catalytic amount of NaOMe in methanol for 1 h . Syntheses of examples $4 a$ and $4 b$ are then completed using standard methodology.
The nat-trans stereochemistry exemplified by 5a and $\mathbf{5 b}$ should theoretically be available by reversal of the side chain construction sequence. Reaction of the Wads-worth-Emmons reagent with hemiacetal 7c as shown in Scheme II should produce hydroxy enone 18 in which the stage is set for oxidation and epimerization of the carbinol function at C-3. Unfortunately, the Wadsworth-Emmons reagent was sufficiently basic to cause epimerization of 7c before coupling which led to 19 as the only enone product in this reaction. We reasoned that this problem might be overcome if the equilibrium exemplified by $7 a-7 b$ could be prevented. To arrange for this, we prepared hydrazone 20 which could be acetylated and hydrolyzed to aldehyde 23. Reaction of hemiacetal 7c with unsymmetrical dimethylhydrazine gave a mixture of cis and trans hydrazones 20 and 21 in a ratio of 2.3 to 1 . Although this ratio was in favor of the desired stereochemistry, neither the alcohols 20 or 21 nor their acetates could be separated in a practical way.

While the experiments outlined in Scheme II were not synthetically useful, they did emphasize the importance of steric considerations in controlling the ratio of cis and trans products. Removal of the endo hydrogen atoms at C-5 and C-6 would be expected to decrease the steric requirements of 7c and perhaps lead to a more favorable cis-trans product ratio. As shown in Scheme III, this tactic was successful and hydrazone 25 was obtained with less than $5 \%$ of the trans isomer present. We were gratified to find that aldehyde 23, obtained by reduction of the olefinic double bond and hydrolysis of the hydrazone function in 26, was reactive enough in the WadsworthEmmons reaction to give enone 27 uncontaminated by the acetate of isomeric compound 19. Manipulation of the functional groups in 27 gave aldehyde 29 which, on epimerization to 30 , provided an intermediate suitable for

## Scheme III ${ }^{a}$


conversion by standard methods to target nat-trans compounds 5a and $\mathbf{5 b}$.

The remaining cis-exo side chain arrangement exemplified by compounds 3a and 3b was available by application of the chemistry outlined in Scheme I to the exo hemiacetal 33. This substance was readily available from the well-known Diels-Alder adduct $\mathbf{3 2}^{18}$


The methods described above were applied in the same way to prepare the eight enantiomeric isomers 2-5c,d using hemiacetal starting materials of appropriate chirality (see below).

This work required a source of chiral hemiacetals 7, 24, and 33. Since hemiacetal $7 a$ can be generated from the enantiomer of 24 by hydrogenation, resolution of 24 and 33 are sufficient to produce starting materials for all 16 isomers of 1 . Two resolving agents, ( - -menthol and (+)or ( - )-ketopinic acid were used in this work. Of these, ketopinic acid ${ }^{19}$ was the most useful primarily because both $(+)$ and $(-)$ acids are now available in over $60 \%$ yield from commercially available $(+)$ - and ( - )-camphorsulfonic acid. ${ }^{20}$ No less important was the observation that ketopinic acid

[^3]

Scheme IV ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Key: a, (+)-ketopinic acid, DMAP, DCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; b, $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{c},(-)$-menthol, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$; d, benzyl alcohol, $\mathrm{H}^{+} ; \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{f},(-)$-ketopinic acid, DMAP, $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
could be attached and removed efficiently under conditions that did not degrade the heterocyclic portion of the molecule. Racemic 24 was esterified as shown in Scheme IV with (+)-ketopinic acid, giving a diastereomeric mixture from which the less soluble isomer 35 could be separated by recrystallization from isopropyl ether. Hydrolysis of the pure diastereomer gave chiral 24. Although the enantiomer of 24 was convertible to saturated $7 a$ by reduction over palladium, direct resolution of racemic 7a using $(-)$-menthol as the resolving agent was used to provide large quantities of 7a. This method was attractive because diastereomers 36 and 37 have such different solubilities that complete separation is effected by a single crystallization from methanol. Moreover, diastereomers 36 and 37 have distinctly different CMR spectra, providing a check other than rotation on the degree of resolution attained. (-)-Ketopinic acid was used as shown in Scheme IV to resolve racemic 33.

Stereochemical Assignments. The absolute configuration of 36 was established by X-ray crystallography. This assignment establishes the absolute stereochemistry of 7a and also 24 because of the chemical link between 24 and 7c. The absolute configuration of 33 was established by X-ray crystallography of diastereomer 38 , which was prepared from racemic 33 and (-)-ketopinic acid. Thus, the stereochemistry about the heterocyclic portion of compounds 2-5 is based on X-ray data of diastereomers in which molecules of known absolute configuration have been incorporated. The remaining asymmetric center on the allylic alcohol side chain was assigned in the following way.
Stereochemistry at the allylic alcohol position in prostaglandins and analogue has often been assigned by TLC

Table III


* Inhibition of aggregation of human platelets induced by arachidonic acid (AA), adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and epinephrine (EPI).
** Inhibition of human platelet thromboxane synthetase.
*** Inhibition of sheep seminal vesicle prostaglandin synthetase.
**** GPT = guinea pig trachea stimulated with histamine (HIST) or $9,11-\mathrm{AZO}-\mathrm{PGH} 2 ; \mathrm{RSS}=$ rat stomach strip.
behavior arguments that are based on chemical degradation experiments by Samuelsson ${ }^{21}$ and others ${ }^{22}$ and on the X-ray work done with $\mathrm{PGE}_{2} .{ }^{23}$ For compounds structurally very closely related to the natural prostaglandins, these arguments are probably valid. However, we decided to adopt a more rigorous approach to this assignment and used a derivation of the procedures mentioned above. Samples of compounds 2a, 3b, 4d, and 5c were converted to their methyl ester acetates and degraded by ozonolysis. From the reaction mixture it was possible to isolate chiral 2 -hydroxyheptanoic acid as the major product. This was converted without further purification to a methyl ester and then to a menthyl carbonate derivative that, depending on the chirality of the acid, had a characteristic GC retention time. Comparison of this material with derivatives of authentic (+)-, ( - ) and ( $\pm$ )-2-hydroxyheptanoic acid allowed assignment of the stereochemistry at the alcohol function. This method proved to be reliable for samples as small as 20 mg . Since enantiomeric and diastereomeric relationships exist between the four isomers of each side chain arrangement, these experiments were sufficient to establish the alcohol configurations of all 16 isomers. A recently described degradative method ${ }^{24}$ in
which aldehydes produced by reductive workup of the ozonolysis reaction are converted to oxazolidines with $l$ ephedrine was employed as a check on our assignments. The same assignments were obtained with either method.

Biology. Platelet Effects. Compounds 2-5 and their enantiomers were evaluated in vitro against platelet aggregation (PA) induced in human platelets (platelet rich plasma) by arachidonic acid (AA), adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and epinephrine (EPI) and against $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ synthetase (from human platelet membranes). The test methods have been described previously, ${ }^{25}$ and the results of these tests are presented in Tables III and IV. Two general conclusions become possible on inspection of these platelet data. First, these molecules as a class are active inhibitors of platelet aggregation but ineffective against $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$ synthetase and thus, by inference, platelet cyclooxygenase. Isomers $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{4 b}$, and $\mathbf{5 b}$ were also found inactive as inhibitors of sheep seminal vesicle cyclooxygenase. ${ }^{25}$ Second, enantiomers in which the heterocyclic oxygen is $\alpha$ (Table III) are usually more active than their isomers (Table IV). More specifically, these data reveal differences in activity profiles among isomers, depending on their relative chain stereochemistry. The cis-exo isomer 3b

[^4]
## Table IV

No.


| Platelet Aggregation* |  |  |  | TXA** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left({ }_{50}{ }^{\mu} \mathrm{\mu M}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| AA | ADP | EPI-10 | EPI-2 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 310 | > 1000 | > 1000 | 6 | > 1000 |

2d


140

$$
>1000
$$

560
$3 c$


3d


4d

$5 c$


* Inhibition of aggregation of human platelets induced by arachidonic acid (AA), adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and epinephrine (EPI).
*     * Inhibition of human platelet thromboxane synthetase.
inhibits PA induced by all the agents tested but is much more potent against AA and the second phase of EPI-induced aggregation. This suggests specificity against $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$-mediated events. By contrast, the 15 -epimer 3a is a potent stimulator of PA.

Cis-endo isomer 2a shows similar specificity as a $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ antagonist although it is 10 -fold less potent than 3b. In this example, epimerization at C-15 (2b) does not lead to $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ agonism activity.

Compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ is the most active of the unnat-trans isomers. This molecule, in contrast to any other isomer, causes potent inhibition of aggregation regardless of the inducing agent employed.

The "natural" side chain isomers (nat-trans) 5a and 5b are similar in profile to the cis-exo isomers $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$. Thus, $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ agonism (5a) or antagonism (5b) depends on $\mathrm{C}-15$ stereochemistry. Compound 5 a , which is stereochemically identical with $\mathrm{TXA}_{2}$, is the most potent agonist in the series.

Smooth Muscle Effects. The compounds in Table III were also tested for direct effects on a rat stomach strip preparation (RSS) ${ }^{26}$ and for selectivity as $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ antagonists against histamine and 9,11-AZO-PGH2-induced contractions of guinea pig trachea (GPT) ${ }^{27}$. The RSS preparation, known to contract in response to all prostanoids except $\mathrm{PGA}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PGD}_{2},{ }^{28}$ provides a measure of prostaglandin-like agonist activity. The most active

[^5]compounds in this test are $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 a}$, which cause contraction of the tissue at nanomolar concentrations. This result, combined with the platelet effects, supports our hypothesis that 3 a and 5 a are $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ agonists. The weak agonist activity shown by carbinol epimers 3 b and 5 b may be due to trace contamination ( $1 \%$ ) by $\mathbf{3 a}$ and 5 a .

In the GPT preparation, compounds 2a, 3b,5a, and $\mathbf{5 b}$ antagonize contractions induced by 9, 11-AZO-PGH ${ }_{2}$ but not those caused by histamine. Thus, these compounds are selective TXA $/ \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ antagonists in this tissue. In contrast, $\mathbf{4 b}$ effectively blocks both agents in agreement with the nonspecific activity found for this isomer in the platelet.

## Discussion

We have shown that these 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ analogues demonstrate three distinct types of biological activity depending on the relative stereochemistry of the side chains and the cabinol function. Inspection of space-filling molecular models of these compounds reveals structural elements in common with the natural products that may be partly responsible for the activities observed. For example, because of constraints imposed by the cis-exo side chain arrangement in 3a, the hydroxyl group in this molecule occupies the same region in space as does that of the trans-configured 5a and $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$. Thus, 3a and 5a, carbinol epimers where 3a possesses the "unnatural" $R$ configuration, are both agonists of TXA ${ }_{2}$. These models also show that the cis side chain orientation in 2 and 3 makes these compounds relatively rigid compared to the trans isomers. It is possible that these differences in side chain rigidity play a major role in deter-
mining the degree and kind of activity expressed for each isomer.

Compound $\mathbf{4 b}$, the only nonselective inhibitor in this series, requires an additional comment. The behavior of $4 b$ in the platelet and on smooth muscle along with the observation that this compound elevates platelet cAMP ${ }^{25 b}$ suggests this molecule acts as $\mathrm{PGI}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PGD}_{2}$ receptor agonist. The reason why this isomer alone should function as a $\mathrm{PGI}_{2} / \mathrm{PGD}_{2}$ agonist is unclear but again points to the importance of side chain stereochemistry for activity.

While our work with 7 -oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane $\mathrm{TXA}_{2} / \mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ analogues was in progress, ${ }^{29}$ groups in The Netherlands ${ }^{30}$ and in Japan ${ }^{31}$ were also pursuing stable analogues based on this heterocycle. The Dutch group, employing a similar synthetic method, synthesized racemic examples of 3-5 processing saturated $\alpha$ side chains. The Japanese have limited their investigations to the preparation of racemic $5 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, which are reported to be, respectively, an inducer of PA and "inactive". ${ }^{31}$

The Gist-Brocades group have presented data on the effects of racemic 5,6-dihydro derivatives of 3-5 on smooth muscle, in vivo bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs and ADP-induced platelet aggregation in rats. ${ }^{32}$ Since their emphasis was on agonist properties of these compounds rather than antagonism of the effects of TXA ${ }_{2}$, our results are not directly comparable to theirs. Nevertheless, it is apparent that there are large differences in activity between our compounds and those evaluated by Hall, Funcke, and Jaitly. Part of the difference between our data may be due to the absence of the $\Delta^{5}$ double bond in the GBR compounds, and experiments are under way in our laboratories to investigate this point. There are also probable differences in our assay procedures that contribute to the disparity among our data. However, we believe most of the discrepancy is due to misassignment of the C-15 carbinol stereochemistry in the GBR compounds. ${ }^{33}$
(29) Presented in part at: International Conference on Prostaglandins, Washington, DC, 1978; "Advances in Prostaglandin and Thromboxane Research"; Ramwell, P. W., Paoletti, R., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1980; Vol. 6, pp 493-496. International Conference on Prostaglandins, Florence, Italy, 1982; "Advances in Prostaglandin and Thromboxane Research"; Samuelsson, B., Paoletti, R., Ramwell, P., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 11, pp 337-343.
(30) Eggelte, T. A.; DeKoning, H.; Huisman, H. O. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 980-989.
(31) Kametani, T.; Suzuki, T.; Tomino, A.; Kamada, S.; Unno, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 30, 796-801.
(32) Hall, D. W. R.; Funcke, A. B. H.; Jaitly, K. D. Prostaglandins 1979, 18, 317-330.
(33) Diels, O.; Alder, K. Ann. 1931, 240, 252.
(34) Woodward, R. B.; Baer, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948 70, 1161-1166.
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## Experimental Section

Introduction. All flash chromatography experiments were carried out on a Whatman LPS-1 silica gel using $10-20$ psi nitrogen column pressure. Melting points were obtained on a standard Thomas-Hoover apparatus and are uncorrected. CMR spectra were run on a JEOL FX-60Q spectrometer.
endo, cis-7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2,3-dicarboxylic Acid (8). A mixture of maleic acid ( $982 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and furan ( $618 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 2100 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h in a three-neck flask sealed by a greased stopper retained by a rubber band. A small amount of unreacted furan was separated and the aqueous layer clarified by treatment with Norite-A. The solution was chilled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and seeded, causing precipitation of $8(250 \mathrm{~g})$, which was collected by filtration and dried over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $\mathrm{mp} 149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{mp} 148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 174.1$ (s), 135.1 (d), 79.9 (d), 47.4 (d). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ ) C, H .
endo-1,3,3a,4,7,7a-Hexahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzofuran-1-ol (24) and endo-3a,4,7,7a-Tetrahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzo-furan-1,3-dione ( 8 a ). Compound 8 ( $200 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a mixture of pyridine $(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic anhydride $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-salt bath) and the resultant mixture stirred rapidly for 10 min . The mixture was diluted with ether-pentane ( $1: 9$, 4 L ) and the resulting precipitate separated by filtration: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CCN}$ ) $\delta 170.2$ (s), 136.6 (d), 80.8 (d), 48.5 (d). This substance was reduced to lactone $8 \mathbf{b}$ directly as follows.
endo-3a,4,7,7a-Tetrahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzofuran-1( $\mathbf{3 H}$ )-one ( 8 b ). The above anhydride was added to a mixture of sodium borohydride ( $44 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 3.2 L ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resultant mixture stirred under a calcium chloride drying tube for 4 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum and the solid residue added slowly with stirring to ice. The resulting mixture was acidified to pH 3 with concentrated HCl and extracted with methylene chloride ( $6 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to yield 8 b : 100 $\mathrm{g}(60 \%)$, recrystallized from benzene-pentane; mp $121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.9$ (s), 136.5 (d), 134.0 (d), 80.5 (d), 79.4 (d), 67.8 (t), 47.9 (d), 40.3 (d).

Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$. Lactone 8 b was reduced to hemiacetal 24 by the procedure used in preparation of hemiacetal 7a: 75\% from 8 b , recrystallized from benzene-pentane; $\mathrm{mp} 108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.2$ (d), 97.6 (d), 80.1 (d), 78.9 (d), 66.6 (t), 55.1 (d), 45.6 (d). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.
endo-Hexahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzofuran-1,3-dione (9) and endo, cis -7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-dicarboxylic Acid (8c). A mixture of $8(80 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol ( 800 mL ) was stirred on an atmospheric hydrogenation apparatus until uptake of hydrogen ceased ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, 9739 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The catalyst was removed by filtration and the solvent removed under vacuum to give 80 g of 8 c , which was recrystallized from ethyl acetate-pentane: $\mathrm{mp} 169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{34} \mathrm{mp} 168-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{CCN}\right) \delta 174.4$ (s), 79.9 (d), 49.4 (d), 26.5 (t). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ C, H.

A slurry of $8 \mathbf{c}(8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.045 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetyl chloride $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 30 min . Removal of the acetyl chloride under vacuum and recrystallization of the residue from benzene gave 9: $6 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 156-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{35} \mathrm{mp} 158-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.2$ (s), 78.3 (d), 52.8 (d), 26.2 (d). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H .
endo-Hexahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzofuran-1(3H)-one (10). Anhydride $9(183 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{~mol})$ was converted to 10 by the method used in the synthesis of 8 b : $120 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$, recrystallized from heptane; mp $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.1$ (s), 79.5 (d), 78.2 (d), 66.8 (t), 49.9 (d), 45.1 (d), 27.0 (t), 22.9 (t). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.
(-)-endo-Octahydro-4,7-epoxyisobenzofuran-1-ol (7a). Racemic 7a. A solution of $10(45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{~mol})$ in toluene was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL ( $390 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \%$ in toluene, 0.59 mol ) over 10 min . Stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was continued 30 min when the reaction was quenched with acetic acid ( 37 g in 200 mL of toluene, 0.62 mol ). The mixture was allowed to warm to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated carefully with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$, allowing the reaction temperature to rise to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (exothermic reaction). The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (10 $\times 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO} 3(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, yielding
racemic 7a: $43 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$, recrystallized from benzene-cyclohexane; $\mathrm{mp} 132-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 97.5$ (d), 79.5 (d), 78.0 (d) 65.3 (t), 56.2 (d), 47.3 (d), 25.6 (t), 24.2 (t). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) C, H.

Resolution of 7a. A mixture of racemic $7 \mathrm{a}(26.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol})$, $l$-menthol ( $26.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid (trace) was heated at reflux in benzene ( 1.4 L ) for 18 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in a flask equipped with a condenser and Dean-Stark trap. The mixture was cooled, washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated under vacuum. The crystalline residue was recrystallized from methanol ( 900 mL ), yielding 20.5 g of 36 as a first crop and, after concentration of the filtrate to 500 mL , an additional 2 g was obtained: total $22.5 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 160-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-193^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 98.9$ (d), 79.5 (d), 78.0 (d), 73.9 (d), 65.1 (t), 55.6 (d), 47.8 (d), 47.5 (d), 39.8 (t), 34.4 (t), 31.3 (d), 25.6 ( t ), 25.2 ( t$), 24.2$ ( t$), 22.9$ ( t$), 22.1$ (q), 20.9 (q), 15.2 (q).

Subsequent concentration of the filtrate by $50-\mathrm{mL}$ increments (to 350 mL ) removed all but $5 \%$ of 36 as estimated by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The volume of the filtrate was then reduced to 125 mL whereupon 17.8 g of 37 crystallized from the solution: $36 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25 \mathrm{D}}+60^{\circ}\left(c 2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 104.8$ (d), 79.5 (d), 78.0 (d), 64.8 (t), 55.8 (d), 48.6 (d), 47.3 (d), 43.4 (t), 34.3 (d), 31.6 (d), 25.6 (d and t), 24.2 (t), 23.4 ( t$), 22.1$ (q), 21.0 (q), 16.3 (q).

Acetal Exchange. A mixture of $36(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid (trace) was heated in benzyl alcohol (100 mL ) at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The mixture was cooled and partitioned between water and hexane. The hexane layer was washed with water ( $4 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), decolorized with Norite-A, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving the benzyl mixed acetal 36a of 7a: 8.3 $\mathrm{g}(99 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, recrystallized from ethyl acetate; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $-158^{\circ}$ (c $\left.10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 137.7$ (s), 128.2 (d), 127.7 (d), 127.4 (d), 102.1 (d), 79.3 (d), 77.7 (d), 68.4 (t), 65.1 (t), 55.5 (d), 47.2 (d) 25.6 (t), 24.1 (t).

Reduction. A mixture of $36 \mathrm{a}(18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.073 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(1.8 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethyl acetate ( 200 mL ) was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure until 1 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was consumed ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, 1641$ mL ). The mixture was filtered and concentrated, yielding 7a: $11.5 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$, recrystallized from cyclohexane-benzene; mp 132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-79^{\circ}$ (c $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).
(-)-endo-Octahydro-5,8-epoxy-1H-benzopyran-3-ol (6). A slurry of (methoxymethylene)triphenylphosphonium chloride (33.1 $\mathrm{g}, 0.097 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in toluene ( 800 mL ) was treated under argon with a solution of LDA (prepared at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $1.6 \mathrm{M} n$-butyllithium ( $60.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.096 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and diisopropylamine ( $20.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.146 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) ) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Powdered 7a $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and the mixture stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h and then quenched at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with acetic acid $(6.0 \mathrm{~g}$ in 50 mL of ether). The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(250$ mL ) and extracted with ether ( $6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether was removed under vacuum and the residue dissolved in warm isopropyl ether. On standing, nearly all the triphenylphosphine oxide precipitated and was removed by filtration. Concentration of the filtrate and purification of the residue by flash chromatography gave a mixture of enol ethers ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.8$ (d), 148.4 (d), 100.8 (d), 97.5 (d), 81.3 (d), 80.2 (d), 79.4 (d), 77.9 (d), 60.9 (t), 59.5 (t), 56.3 (q), 45.8 (d), 45.5 (d), 41.5 (d), 37.4 (d), 24.1 (t)) which was dissolved in $20 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid-water ( 38 mL ) under argon and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The pH was adjusted to 8 with solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the solution saturated with salt and extracted with methylene chloride $(6 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Concentration gave a mixture that was subjected to a second TFA treatment and workup. The product was purified by flash chromatography, yielding 6: $2.68 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$ from $7 \mathrm{a} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-15.6^{\circ}$ (c $19.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, MeOH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 92.0$ (d), 90.4 (d), 80.1 (d), 79.6 (d), 77.5 (d), 59.4 (t), 40.6 (d), 37.6 (d), 35.8 (d), 32.9 (d), 28.4 (t), 26.4 (t), 25.4 ( t$), 24.8(\mathrm{t}), 24.1$ ( t ).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(Z), 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]-7$-[3-(Hydroxymethyl)-7-oxabicy-clo[2.2.1]heptan-1-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (11). A solution of 6 ( $3.75 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a mixture of ylide prepared from triphenyl(carboxybutylene)phosphonium bromide ( $29.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.066 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and freshly prepared dimsyl in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 2 h . The reaction was quenched ( 0.066 mol of acetic acid in 5 mL of ether) poured into brine ( 1 L ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$
and concentrated, and the residue was extracted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous solution was separated from granular triphenylphosphine oxide and extracted with benzene $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by ethyl acetate $(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. Acidification (to pH 2 with concentrated HCl ) followed by extraction with ether $(6 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave crude 11 from which an additional contaminate crystallized on chilling $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 24 h . The filtrate was concentrated, giving 4.0 g of acid $11:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 178.0(\mathrm{~s})$, 129.2 (d), 128.8 (d), 80.2 (d), 79.7 (d), 60.1 (t), 43.9 (d), 42.1 (d), $33.0(\mathrm{t}), 26.4(\mathrm{t}), 24.3(\mathrm{t}), 23.9(\mathrm{t}), 23.3(\mathrm{t}), 20.5(\mathrm{t})$. It was esterified (excess diazomethane in ether) and purified by flash chromatography (elution sequence hexane-ether (1:1) 1 L , ether 2 L ) giving 11a: $2.91 \mathrm{~g}(49 \%) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2} 365-23^{\circ}(c 12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.8$ (s), 129.2 (d), 128.9 (d), 80.1 (d), 79.5 (d), 60.2 (t), 51.3 (q), 44.2 (t), 42.2 (d), 33.2 (t), 26.5 (t), 24.5 ( t$)$, 24.0 (t), 23.3 (t).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)$ ]-7-[3-Formyl-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]-heptan-1-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (12). A mixture of chromium oxide ( $6.48 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( $10.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13$ mol ) in methylene chloride ( 340 mL ) was prepared and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 30 min . Dry Celite ( 18 g ) was added followed by $11 \mathbf{a}$ ( $2.91 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methylene chloride $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and stirring was continued for 30 min . The mixture was filtered and the filtrate washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, $5 \% \mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in ether, treated with Norite-A, filtered, and concentrated, giving 12: 2.6 $\mathrm{g}(90 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 203.1$ (d), 173.6 (s), 130.3 (d), 127.8 (d), 80.3 (d), 78.6 (d), 54.8 (d), 51.3 (q), 44.3 (d), 33.2 (t), 26.7 (t), $25.2(\mathrm{t}), 24.5(\mathrm{t}), 23.6(\mathrm{t})$. This compound was used in the next reaction without further purification.
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \beta, 4 \alpha)$ ]-7-[3-Formyl-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]-hepten-1-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (13). A solution of $12(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NaOMe (commercial preparation, 100 $\mathrm{mg})$ was prepared in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 1 L ) and extracted with ether ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving 13 ( 1.3 g , quantitative yield). The degree of epimerization was estimated by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and judged complete when the aldehyde peak at 203.1 ppm had disappeared (the chemical shift for this atom in 12 is 203.1 ppm and in 13 is 200.6 ppm ): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.6$ (d), 173.4 (s), 129.8 (d), 127.3 (d), 78.9 (d), 77.3 (d), 61.6 (d), 51.0 (q), 43.4 (d), 32.8 (t), 29.5 (t), 28.1 ( $t), 26.3$ (t), $24.3(t), 23.6(t)$. This compound was used in the next reaction without further purification.
[1S-( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha(1 E), 4 \alpha)]-7-[3-(3-O x o-1-o c t e n y l)-7-o x a-$ bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (14). A mixture of the anion of dimethyl (2-oxoheptyl)phosphonate (1.11 $\mathrm{g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was prepared by reaction with $\mathrm{NaH}(202 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ in oil, 4.95 mmol ) in dimethoxyethane ( 100 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After the mixture was stirred for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 12(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dimethoxyethane ( 10 mL ) was added and stirring continued 3 h more. The reaction was quenched with acetic acid $(4.95 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solvents were removed at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( 300 mL and brine ( 300 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving crude $14(1.61 \mathrm{~g})$. This was purified by flash chromatography using $9: 1$ ethyl acetate-hexane as the eluent: yield of trans enone $141.14 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{Hg} 865}-12^{\circ}(c) 14.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.0$ (s), 173.2 (s), 143.0 (d), 132.7 (d), 129.3 (d), 128.0 (d), 80.9 (d), 79.9 (d), 50.9 (q), 46.2 (d), 45.2 (d), 40.5 (t), 32.9 (t), 31.0 ( t$), 26.4$ (t), 24.8 (t), 24.5 (t), 24.3 ( t$)$, 23.4 (t), 22.1 (t), 13.5 (q).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \beta(1 E), 4 \alpha)]-7-[3-(3-O \times 0-1-o c t e n y l)-7-$ oxa-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (16). The isomeric trans enone 16 was prepared in $64 \%$ yield from aldehyde 13 by using the above procedure. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.5$ (s), 173.4 (s), 148.5 (d), 129.5 (d), 128.7 (d), 127.8 (d), 81.2 (d), 79.2 (d), 53.4 (d), 51.0 (q), 49.8 (d), 39.4 ( t ), 33.0 ( t ), 31.2 ( t$), 29.8$ ( t$), 28.2$ ( t$), 26.4$ ( t$), 24.4$ ( t$), 23.7$ (t), 23.5 (t), 22.1 (t), 13.6 (q).
[1S-( $\left.\left.1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 S^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]$-7-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (15a) and [1S-( $\left.1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right]-7-$
[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-octenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (15b). A solution of 14 ( 1.14 g , $3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(122 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ added over a $1-\mathrm{min}$ period. The resulting mixture was stirred 7 min , poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, and extracted with ether $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with water ( 100 mL ) and brine ( 100 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving a mixture of $15 a$ and $15 b(1.1 \mathrm{~g})$. The mixture was separated by flash chromatography: (using $7.5 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexane to elute the less polar 15 b ) $610 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-23.7^{\circ}(c 6.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.6$ (s), 137.5 (d), 129.0 (d), 128.8 (d), 126.8 (d), 81.2 (d), 79.9 (d), 72.1 (d), 51.2 (q), 46.0 (d), 44.3 (d), 37.1 (t), 33.0 (t), 31.5 (t), 26.4 (t), 24.8 (t), 24.4 ( $t$ ), 23.4 (t), 22.3 (t), 13.7 (q); (using $20 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexane to elute 15 a 300 mg ( $27 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $-17.8^{\circ}$ (c $7.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.8$ (s), 137.5 (d), 129.0 (d), 127.6 (d), 81.3 (d), 80.2 (d), 72.6 (d), 51.3 (q) 46.3 (d), 44.5 (d), 37.3 (t), 31.7 (t), 26.7 (t), 25.0 (t), 24.7 (t), 23.6 (t), 22.5 (t), 13.9 (q).
[1S-( $\left.1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \beta\left(1 E, 3 S^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)$ ]-7-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (17b) and [1S-( $\left.\left.1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \beta\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]-7-$ [3-(3-Hydroxy-1-octenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (17a). The isomeric esters 17a and 17 b were prepared from enone 16 by using the above method.

17b: $49 \%$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{2}-31^{\circ}$ (c $7.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.7$ (s), 134.1 (d), 132.3 (d), 129.1 (d), 128.5 (d), 82.1 (d), 79.1 (d), 72.4 (d), 53.4 (d), 51.2 (q), 50.3 (d), 37.1 (t), 33.2 (t), 31.5 (t), 30.0 ( t ), 28.2 ( t$), 26.4$ ( t$), 24.9$ ( t$), 24.5$ ( t$), 23.4$ ( t$), 22.4$ (t), 13.8 (q).

17a: $34 \%$; $\left[\alpha{ }^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-38^{\circ}\right.$ (c $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.7$ (s), 134.3 (d), 132.1 (d), 129.0 (d), 128.5 (d), 81.9 (d), 79.2 (d), 72.5 (d), 53.4 (d), 51.2 (q), 50.4 (d), 37.1 (t), 33.2 (t), $31.5(\mathrm{t}), 30.0(\mathrm{t}), 28.3(\mathrm{t}), 26.5(\mathrm{t}), 24.9(\mathrm{t}), 24.5(\mathrm{t}), 23.4(\mathrm{t}), 22.4$ (t), 13.8 (q).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha(1 E, 3 S *), 4 \alpha)]-7-[3-(3-$ Hyroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (2a) and $\left[1 S-\left(1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]$ ]-[[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oc-tenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (2b). A solution of $15 \mathrm{~b}(610 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 90 mL ) and water $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was treated with $\mathrm{LiOH}(773 \mathrm{mg}, 18$ mmol ) in water ( 17 mL ) and the resulting mixture stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ followed by 4 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was acidified ( pH 3) with saturated oxalic acid and poured into water ( 700 mL ). Extraction with ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed by washing the combined extracts with water ( 100 mL ) and brine ( 100 mL ), drying $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentration gave 2a as an oil. This was purified by chromatography on a short $1 / 2$ in. $\times 4$ in. silica gel column (ether as eluent) and millipore filtering (in $95: 5$ cyclohexane ether on $0.4-\mu \mathrm{m}$ Millipore membrane) to give 2b: $340 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $-25^{\circ}(c 6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.1$ (s), 136.9 (d), 129.3 (d), 129.0 (d), 128.1 (d), 81.2 (d), 80.1 (d), 72.9 (d), 46.3 (d), 44.6 (d), 36.9 (t), 32.7 (t), 26.3 (t), 25.0 (t), 24.7 ( t$), 24.3$ ( t ), 23.6 ( t$), 22.4$ ( t$), 13.9$ (q).

In the same way 15 a was converted to $2 \mathrm{a}:[\alpha]^{2} 5_{\mathrm{D}}-20^{\circ}$ (c 4.8 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 177.7 (s), 137.0 (d), 129.2 (d), 129.0 (d), 127.4 (d), 81.3 (d), 80.2 (d), 72.6 (d), 46.3 (d), 44.5 (d), 37.1 (t), 33.0 (t), 31.7 (t), 26.5 (t), 25.0 (t), 24.7 ( t$), 24.3$ ( t$), 23.6$ ( t$), 22.5$ (t), 13.9 (q).

The same procedure was used to convert 17 a and 17 b to 4 a and 4 b .

4a: $[\alpha]^{25}-43^{\circ}(c 8.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.3$ (s), 134.9 (d), 131.5 (d), 129.3 (d), 128.4 (d), 81.9 (d), 79.1 (d), 72.9 (d), 53.5 (d), 50.7 (d), 36.9 (t), 32.9 (t), 31.5 (t), 30.8 (t), 28.0 (t), 26.3 ( t$), 24.9$ ( t$), 24.4$ ( t$), 23.3$ (t), 22.4 (t), 13.9 (q).

4b: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{2}-31^{\circ}(c 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( ${ }^{\mathrm{CDCl}}{ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.7$ (s), 134.5 (d), 131.8 (d), 129.2 (d) 128.6 (d), 82.1 (d), 79.3 (d), 72.6 (d), 53.4 (d), 50.6 (d), 36.9 (t), 33.2 (t), $31.6(\mathrm{t}), 30.1(\mathrm{t}), 28.3(\mathrm{t}), 26.5(\mathrm{t}), 25.0(\mathrm{t}) 24.5(\mathrm{t}), 23.5(\mathrm{t}), 22.5$ (t), 13.9 (q).

Resolution of 24. A solution of racemic $24(53.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mol})$, $l$-ketopinoyl chloride ( $70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 500 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue diluted with water and extracted with methylene
chloride $(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, yielding a solid mixture of 34 and 35 from which pure 35 was separated by multiple recrystallization (four times from isopropyl ether): yield $19 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{mp} 123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+58^{\circ}$ ( $c 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 210.4$ (s), 169.1 (s), 134.3 (d) 98.4 (d), 80.2 (d), 78.9 (d), 68.3 (t), 54.3 (d), 49.1 ( s$), 45.0$ (d), 44.4 (d), 43.8 (t), 26.2 (t), 21.3 (q), 19.7 (q). A solution of 35 ( $6.36 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), lithium hydroxide ( 20 mL of 1 N solution, 20 mmol ) and hydrogen peroxide ( $30 \%, 0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 100 mL ) was stirred vigorously in a Morton flask at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Sodium thiosulfate ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \%$ solution) was added, and the mixture was saturated with NaCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10$ $\times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated and the residue recrystallized from benzene-cyclohexane, giving 24: $3 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{mp} 99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+67^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
[ $(1 S$-( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)$ ]-3-(Hydroxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo-[2.2.1]hepten-2-ylcarboxaldehyde 1,1-Dimethylhydrazone (25). A solution of $24(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,1 -dimethylhydrazine $(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 50 mL ) was stirred at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The mixture was concentrated under vacuum, giving $25(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ which was used without further purification in the preparation of 26: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.1$ (d), 134.8 (d), 80.6 (d), 80.3 (d), 61.5 (t), 46.1 (d), 44.1 (d), 42.6 (q).
[1S-( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]$-3-(Acetoxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo-[2.2.1]hepten-2-ylcarboxaldehyde 1,1-Dimethylhydrazone (26). A solution of 25 ( $11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $61 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6$ mol ), and 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( $31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated under vacuum, poured into ice water, and extracted with methylene chloride ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 1$ ether-hexane as eluent), giving 26: $14 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.4$ (s), 135.7 (d), 134.7 (d), 132.8 (d), 80.9 (d), 80.1 (d), 63.9 (t), 44.7 (d), 42.6 (q), 41.8 (q), 20.6 (q).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]$-3-(Acetoxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1] heptan-2-ylcarboxaldehyde 1,1-Dimethylhydrazone (22). A mixture of $26(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) was hydrogenated at 1 atm until 1 equiv $(197 \mathrm{~mL})$ of hydrogen was absorbed ( 10 min ). The mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated, giving 22 as an oil: 2.1 $\mathrm{g}(99 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.8$ (s), 131.2 (d), 79.5 (d), 78.5 (d), 61.9 (t), 45.2 (d), 42.2 (d), 41.9 (d), 24.3 (t), 23.7 (t), 20.0 (q).
[1S-( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha(1 E), 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]$-3-(Acetoxymethyl)-2-(3-oxo-1-oc-tenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (27) and [1S ( $1 \alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha$ )]-3-(Acetoxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ylcarboxaldehyde (23). A solution of $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.98 \mathrm{~g}, 17.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 140 mL ) was added to a solution of $22(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 130 mL ) and the mixture stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated to a volume of 150 mL , diluted with methylene chloride ( 300 mL ), and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under vacuum, giving 23. Since this compound is easily epimerized, it was converted immediately to 27 . Aldehyde 23 in dimethoxyethane ( 5 mL ) was added to a mixture of dimethyl (2-oxoheptyl)phosphate anion (prepared by stirring dimethyl 2 -oxoheptylphosphate $(4.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 18 mmol ) in dimethoxyethane ( 70 mL ) with $\mathrm{NaH}(59 \%$ in oil, 676 $\mathrm{mg}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the resultant mixture stirred for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with 1 equiv of acetic acid and the mixture concentrated under vacuum. The residue was partitioned between ether and $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and the ether layer separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, giving crude 27. This was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 3$ eth-er-pentane as eluent), giving enone 27: $1.6 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+4^{\circ}$ (c $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.1$ (s), 170.2 (s), 141.0 (d), 133.4 (d), 80.6 (d), 78.9 (d), 61.7 (t), 45.8 (d), 43.6 (d), 40.3 (t), 31.1 ( t$), 24.6$ ( t$), 24.1$ (t), 23.5 ( t$), 22.1$ ( t$), 20.4$ (q), 13.6 (q).
[1S-(1 $2,2 \alpha(1 E), 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]$-3-(Acetoxymethyl)-2-[3-[(tert-bu-tyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-octenyl]-7-oxabicyclo[ $2,2.1$ ]heptane (28). A mixture of $27(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.86 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.8 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated over 30 s with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(181 \mathrm{mg}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring 7 min , the mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted
with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated, giving a mixture of allylic alcohols: $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%)$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17^{\circ}\left(c 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. A solution of this with 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $771 \mathrm{mg}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 18 mL ) was stirred 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was poured into water ( 300 mL ) and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed (brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated, giving 28: $1.93 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $-8.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.6$ (s), 141.0 (d), 126.2 (d), 125.9 (d), 83.2 (d), 81.2 (d), 75.2 (d), 74.9 (d), 64.9 (t), 47.7 (d), 44.9 (d), 40.3 (t), 33.7 (t), 27.8 (q), 26.8 ( t$), 26.0(\mathrm{t})$, 24.5 (t), 22.8 (q), 20.2 ns ), 16.0 (q), -4.4 (q), -4.8 (q).
[1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha, 3 \alpha(1 E), 4 \alpha)]-3-[3-[($ tert - Butyldimethylsilyl $)$ -oxy]-1-octenyl]-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ylcarboxaldehyde (29) and [1S-(1 $\alpha, 2 \alpha(1 E), 3 \alpha, 4 \alpha)]-2-(3-[($ tert $-\mathrm{Bu}-$ tyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-octenyl]-3-(hydroxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (28a). A mixture of $28(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); the extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 3$ ether-pentane as eluent), giving carbinol 28a: $1.46 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.4$ (d), 124.6 (d), 124.3 (d), 81.1 (d), 79.4 (d), 73.0 (d), 72.6 (d), 60.8 (t), 46.3 (d), 45.6 (d), 38.1 (t), 31.6 (t), 25.7 (q), 24.6 (t), 24.4 ( t$), 23.9$ (t), 22.3 ( t$), 17.9$ ( s$), 13.8$ (q), -4.4 (q), -4.8 (q). This compound in methylene chloride ( 10 mL ) was added to a mixture of Collins reagent (prepared by stirring a mixture of pyridine ( $4.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and chromium trioxide ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 17$ mmol) in methylene chloride ( 135 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min followed by addition of Celite ( 10 g ) ) and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . The mixture was filtered through Celite, washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaH}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}, 5 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, and water, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated, giving 29: $1.38 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+26^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.5$ (d), 139.2 (d), 124.6 (d), 124.4 (d), 81.4 (d), 78.3 (d), 72.8 (d), 72.6 (d), 57.2 (d), 46.5 (d), 38.1 (t), 31.7 (t), 25.8 (q), 24.7 ( t$), 24.5$ (t), 22.5 ( t ), 18.1 ( s$), 13.9$ (q), -4.4 (q), -4.8 (q).
[1S-( $1 \alpha, 2 \beta, 3 \alpha(1 E), 4 \alpha)]-3-[3-[($ tert - Butyldimethylsilyl)-oxy]-1-octenyl]-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ylcarboxaldehyde (30). A solution of 29 ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium methoxide ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, giving 30: $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(100 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+39^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.3$ (d), 137.5 (d), 126.4 (d), 126.2 (d), 80.2 (d), 77.8 (d) 72.9 (d), 61.5 (d), 46.2 (d), 38.1 (t), 31.7 (t), 29.9 (t), 25.8 (q), 24.8 (t), 24.5 (t), 22.5 (t), 18.2 ( s$), 13.9$ (q), -4.4 (q), -4.8 (q).
[1S-( $1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \alpha(1 E), 4 \alpha)]-7-[3-[3-[($ tert-Butyldimethyl-silyl)oxy]-1-octenyl]-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (31b). Aldehyde 30 ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 29.2$ mmol ) was converted to a mixture of methyl enol ethers by the procedure used in the preparation of 6 . The enol ether mixture ( $10.3 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ from $30,[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+18^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ) ) in THF / water ( $70 \mathrm{~mL}, 10: 1$ ) was chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with solid $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(41.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$, and stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mercury complex was reduced by pouring the mixture into $10 \%$ KI solution ( 2 L ) and shaking until the yellow color was discharged. The mixture was extracted with benzene ( $3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were washed with $10 \% \mathrm{KI}$ solution, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving crude 31. This compound in THF ( 30 mL ) was added to a mixture of (4-carboxybutylenetriphenylphosphorane (prepared from (4-carboxybutylene)triphenylphosphonium bromide ( 46.4 g 0.105 mol ) in THF ( 780 mL ) and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with 1 equiv of acetic acid, concentrated to $100-\mathrm{mL}$ volume, poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~L})$, and extracted with ether ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, giving crude acid 31a. The accompanying triphenylphosphine oxide was removed by crystallization from a solution of crude 31a in ether-pentane (1:9). The remaining compound ( 15 g ) was esterified with excess diazomethane and chromatographed as the methyl ester (etherhexane, 1:9 as eluent), giving 31b: 11.5 g ( $82 \%$ from 30 ); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $+38^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.8(\mathrm{~s}), 136.1$
(d), 135.8 (d), 129.7 (d), 128.8 (d), 128.6 (d), 80.9 (d), 80.5 (d), 73.4 (d), 52.6 (d), 51.3 (q), 50.0 (d), 38.2 (t), 33.4 (t), 32.4 (t), 31.7 (t), 30.0 (t), 26.6 (t), 25.8 (q), 24.8 ( t ), 24.1 ( t$), 22.5$ (t), 18.1 ( s ), 13.9 (q), -4.4 (q), -4.8 (q).
[1S-(1 $\left.\left.\alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 S^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]$-7-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (31d) and [1S-( $\left.\left.1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]-7-$ [3-(3-Hydroxy-1-octenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5heptenoic Acid Methyl Ester (31e). A mixture of 31b (11.5 $\mathrm{g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $75.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), acetic acid ( 57.8 g ), and THF ( 240 mL ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was concentrated under vacuum, diluted with ether, and poured slowly with stirring into cold $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The ether layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with additional ether ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with brine and concentrated, giving a mixture of isomers epimeric at the carbinol position. This mixture was separated by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:9 for less polar isomer, 3:7 for more polar isomer). $31 \mathrm{c}: 5.2 \mathrm{~g}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+30^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.0(\mathrm{~s}), 135.4$ (d), 129.9 (d), 128.6 (d), 80.8 (d), 80.4 (d), 72.5 (d), 52.7 (d), 51.3 (q), 50.2 (d), 37.3 (t), $33.3(\mathrm{t}), 32.3(\mathrm{t}), 31.7(\mathrm{t}), 30.0(\mathrm{t}), 26.6(\mathrm{t}), 25.0(\mathrm{t}), 24.7(\mathrm{t}), 24.2$ (t), 22.5 (t), 13.9 (q). 31d: $2.7 \mathrm{~g} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+38^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.9$ (s), 135.5 (d), 130.2 (d), 129.7 (d), 128.6 (d), 80.9 (d), 80.4 (d), 72.7 (d), 52.8 (d) 851.3 (q), 50.1 (d), 37.3 (t), 33.4 ( t ), 32.3 ( t$), 31.6$ (t), 30.0 ( t$), 26.6$ ( t$), 25.0(\mathrm{t}), 24.8(\mathrm{t})$, 24.2 (t), 22.5 ( t ), 13.9 ( q ).
[ $1 S$-( $\left.\left.1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 S^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]$-7-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (5a) and $\left[1 S-\left(1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \alpha\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]$ ]-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oc-tenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (5b). Esters 31c and 31d were converted to 5 b and 5 a by the same methods employed for $2 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{5 b}: 4.8 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+33^{\circ}$ (c $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, ether. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.8$ (s), 136.4 (d), 130.7 (d), 130.6 (d), 129.6 (d), 81.7 (d), 81.2 (d), 73.0 (d), 53.8 (d), 51.0 (d), 38.2 (t), 33.8 (t), 33.1 (t), 32.5 (t), 30.8 (t), 27.3 ( t$), 25.8$ (t), 25.6 (t), 24.9 ( t$), 23.4$ ( t$), 14.4$ (q). $5 \mathrm{a}:$ $2.1 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%) ;[\alpha]^{25}+47^{\circ}\left(c 12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right.$, ether). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.9$ (s), 136.4 (d), 130.7 (d), 129.6 (d), 81.8 (d), 81.2 (d), 73.1 (d), 53.8 (d), 50.9 (d), 38.2 (t), 33.8 (t), 32.5 ( t ), 30.8 ( t$), 27.3$ ( t$), 25.9$ ( t$), 25.6$ ( t$), 25.0$ ( t$), 23.4$ ( t$), 14.4$ (q).

Resolution of 33. A mixture of racemic $33(61.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mol})$, $l$-ketopinic acid ( $72 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine ( 48.3 $\mathrm{g}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $81.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 270 mL ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h and then filtered. The filtrate was washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100$ $\mathrm{mL}), 10 \% \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water ( 100 mL ) and concentrated and the residue recrystallized three times from ether, giving 38: $22.5 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 148-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+48^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 210.3$ (s), 168.8 (s), 103.0 (d), 81.3 (d), 78.2 (d), 67.7 (s), 55.3 (d), 48.8 ( s$), 46.7$ (d), 44.3 (d), 43.6 ( t$)$, 28.5 (t), 28.0 (t), 25.9 (t), 21.2 (q), 19.5 (q).

A mixture of $38(21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(131 \mathrm{~mL})$ in THF ( 360 mL ) was stirred rapidly in a Morton flask for 18 h . The THF was removed under vacuum and aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform ( $20 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined extracts were concentrated to give 33: $10 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp} 65-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+46^{\circ}$ (c $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 102.5$ (d), 98.6 (d), 81.2 (d), 78.5 (d), 77.9 (d), 77.2 (d), 71.5 (t), 68.8 (t), 56.4 (d), 53.4 (d), 49.0 (d), 47.8 (d), 28.8 (t), 28.6 (t), 28.4 (t), 27.5 (t).

Anhydride 32 was converted to racemic 33 by using the same procedure employed in the preparation of 7a from $8(8 \rightarrow 8 a \rightarrow$ $10 \rightarrow 7 a$ ).
[1S-( $\left.1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \beta\left(1 E, 3 R^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)$ ]-7-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-oct-enyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (3a) and [1S-( $\left.\left.1 \alpha, 2 \beta(5 Z), 3 \beta\left(1 E, 3 S^{*}\right), 4 \alpha\right)\right]-7$-[3-(3-Hydroxy-1-hep-tenyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl]-5-heptenoic Acid (3b). Hemiacetal 33 was converted to 3 a and $\mathbf{3 b}$, employing the same methodology used in the synthesis of 2a and 2b. 3a: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}+44^{\circ}$ (c $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 177.6$ (s), 133.9 (d), 130.8 (d), 130.3 (d), 129.4 (d), 81.8 (d), 79.4 (d), 72.6 (d), 50.5 (d), 48.5 (d), 36.9 (t), 32.9 (t), 31.6 (t), $29.5(\mathrm{t})$, 28.0 (t), 26.3 (t), 25.0 ( t$), 24.3$ (t), 22.4 (t), 13.9 (q). 3b: $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $\left.+47^{\circ}(c) 11.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.1$ (s), 133.7 (d), 131.7 (d), 130.4 (d), 129.3 (d), 81.9

Table V. Fractional Atomic Coordinates and Their Estimated Errors

| 36 |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| 01 | 0.4313 (6) | 0.0627 (0) | 0.3715 (2) | 0.1 | -0.6302 (6) | -0.0208 (0) | -0.9470 (4) |
| 010 | -0.0778 (6) | 0.03761 (5) | 0.4118 (2) | 010 | -0.9114 (6) | -0.2700 (6) | -0.9863 (3) |
| 011 | 0.3110 (5) | 0.0757 (5) | 0.2424 (2) | 011 | -0.6219 (6) | 0.0761 (5) | -0.7724 (3) |
|  |  |  |  | 012 | -0.6308 (7) | 0.2955 (6) | -0.8560 (4) |
|  |  |  |  | 018 | -0.6566 (7) | 0.2427 (11) | -0.5569 (5) |
| C2 | 0.3536 (8) | 0.1637 (7) | 0.3106 (3) | C2 | -0.7394 (9) | 0.0141 (9) | -0.8686 (5) |
| C3 | 0.1200 (9) | 0.2344 (8) | 0.3270 (3) | C3 | -0.8086 (8) | -0.1266 (8) | -0.8292 (5) |
| C4 | 0.1197 (9) | 0.3958 (8) | 0.3703 (3) | C4 | -0.9846 (9) | -0.1925 (8) | -0.9021 (6) |
| C5 | 0.3228 (10) | 0.4045 (9) | 0.4339 (4) | C5 | -1.0591 (9) | -0.3171 (9) | -0.8403 (6) |
| C6 | 0.2397 (10) | 0.2875 (8) | 0.4920 (3) | C6 | -0.9037 (10) | -0.4321 (8) | -0.8421 (6) |
| C7 | 0.0070 (9) | 0.2313 (8) | 0.4514 (3) | C7 | -0.7772 (9) | -0.3547 (9) | -0.9122 (6) |
| C8 | 0.0363 (8) | 0.1170 (8) | 0.3854 (3) | C8 | -0.6593 (9) | -0.2395 (8) | -0.8456 (5) |
| C9 | 0.2258 (10) | -0.0133 (8) | 0.3964 (3) | C9 | -0.5336 (9) | -0.1558 (9) | -0.9130 (6) |
| C12 | 0.5202 (9) | 0.0319 (7) | 0.2081 (3) | C12 | -0.5716 (8) | 0.2181 (8) | -0.7788 (5) |
| C13 | 0.5816 (9) | 0.1686 (8) | 0.1577 (3) | C13 | -0.4307 (8) | 0.2644 (9) | -0.6814 (5) |
| C14 | 0.7880 (9) | 0.1289 (8) | 0.1153 (3) | C14 | -0.3629 (12) | 0.4246 (10) | -0.6851 (8) |
| C15 | 0.7316 (11) | -0.0255 (9) | 0.0691 (3) | C15 | -0.1793 (12) | 0.4301 (10) | -0.6000 (7) |
| C16 | 0.6733 (10) | -0.1634 (8) | 0.1195 (3) | C16 | -0.1669 (9) | 0.2815 (8) | -0.5509 (6) |
| C17 | 0.4672 (9) | -0.1248 (7) | 0.1648 (3) | C17 | -0.3190 (11) | 0.2645 (13) | -0.4830 (6) |
| C18 | 0.3979 (10) | -0.2679 (9) | 0.2146 (3) | C18 | -0.4997 (11) | 0.2542 (12) | -0.5682 (6) |
| C19 | 0.5952 (12) | -0.3237 (10) | 0.2709 (4) | C19 | -0.2364 (9) | 0.1829 (8) | -0.6496 (6) |
| C20 | 0.2981 (12) | -0.4062 (9) | 0.1644 (4) | C20 | -0.2547 (12) | 0.0260 (10) | -0.6191 (8) |
| C21 | 0.8489 (11) | 0.2670 (9) | 0.0650 (4) | C21 | -0.1230 (11) | 0.1882 (15) | -0.7430 (7) |


$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{o}=\mathrm{y}$
Figure 1. Solid-state conformation of 38.
(d), 79.4 (d), 73.2 (d), 50.7 (d), 48.8 (d), 36.9 (d), 32.7 (d), 31.6 (d), 29.6 (t), 29.1 ( t$), 27.8$ (t), 26.3 (t), 25.0 ( t$), 24.3$ ( t$), 22.5$ (t), 13.9 (q).

X-ray Analyses of $\mathbf{3 6}$ and 38 . Both compounds crystallize in monoclinic structures (space group $P 2, Z=2$ ), which were solved by direct methods and refined by least-squares methods assuming anisotropic thermal parameters for all of the non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at expected positions but were not refined.

Intensities for each structure were collected diffractometrically at ambient temperature using the $\theta-2 \theta$ variable scan rate technique $\left(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha, \lambda=1.5418 \AA, 2 \theta_{\max } \leq 115^{\circ}\right.$ ). Only intensities for which


Figure 2. Solid-state conformation of $\mathbf{3 6}$.
$I \geq 2.5 \sigma(I)$ were used in the refinements. Least-squares weights $w=\sigma^{-2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ were assigned assuming $\sigma^{2}(I)=\epsilon^{2}+(p I)^{2}$ where $\epsilon$ is the statistical counting error and $p=0.02$.
For 38: $a=7.220(2), b=9.219$ (3), $c=12.406$ (4) $\AA ; \beta=100.34$ (3) $^{\circ} ; d_{\text {obed }}=1.33, d_{\text {celcd }}=1.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ; R=0.06$ for 826 intensities. For 36: $a=5.761$ (1), $b=8.266$ (2), $c=17.838$ (7) $\AA ; \beta=96.72$ (2) ${ }^{\circ} ; d_{\text {calcd }}=1.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ; R=0.05$ for 848 intensities.

Since the absolute configuration of one chiral center in each structure was known at the outset, the X-ray results have defined the absolute configuration of all of the other chiral centers. (Figures 1 and 2). The exo acetal ring of 38 exists in a half-chair conformation while the endo acetal ring of 36 exists in an 01 envelope conformation such that the exocyclic $02-\mathrm{C} 11$ bond in both structures is pseudoaxial. Fractional atomic coordinates are given in Table V.
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